JETIR.ORG

# ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)

An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

# A Study of Plant Geometry and Levels of Potassium

# on Plant Height of Banana (Musa acuminata L.)

cv. Ardhapuri

Dr. A. V. Jadhav

Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, Nanded – 431602 (M.S.)., India

#### ABSTRACT

The present investigation was carried out at Banana Research Station, Nanded. "Studies on plant geometry and levels of potassium on growth, yield and quality of banana (Musa acuminata L.)", for two trial years. In the present experiment, there were four main treatments of plant density, viz.  $D_1$  (1.5 m x 1.2 m),  $D_2$  (1.5 m x 1.5 m),  $D_3$  (1.5 m x 1.8 m) and  $D_4$  (1.5 m x 2.1 m), three sub-treatment of potassium levels, viz. K<sub>1</sub> (100 g K<sub>2</sub> O/plant), K<sub>2</sub> (200 g K<sub>2</sub>O/plant), K<sub>3</sub> (300 g K<sub>2</sub>O/plant) and thus comprising twelve treatment combinations.

From the results obtained in the present investigation, it can be revealed that the vigorous vegetative growth in terms of plant height, were the highest in plants with plant density 1.5 m x 2.1 m followed by plant density 1.5 m x 1.8 m, while in case of different potassium levels the vegetative growth were the highest in plants with application of 300 g K<sub>2</sub>O/plant followed by 200 g K<sub>2</sub>O/plant. In interaction, the plant density 1.5 m x 2.1 m with combination of 300 g K<sub>2</sub>O/plant as well as 200 g K<sub>2</sub>O/plant showed the highest vegetative growth and plant height.

#### **Introduction:**

Banana belongs (Musa spp.) to family Musaceae and it is the most important fruit crops of the world as well as India. It is pleasing flavoured, nutritious, cheap and known as "poor man's apple". The banana crop determines the socio-economic status of the farmer's and called as Kalpataru (Plant of heaven) due to its socio-economic and multiple uses. The number of banana cultivars are variable, there are about 250-300 cultivated cultivars in India. Ardhapuri (Musa sp.)

Advantages of high density planting (plant geometry) includes precocity in bearing, high yield, high average yield, high returns per unit area, early returns, easy management, reduction in labour cost, low reduction cost, mechanization of fruit crop, production and facilitates more efficient use of radiation, fertilizers, fungicides, herbicides, pesticides, insecticides etc.

To ensure high yield of superior quality bananas, adequate application of nutrients is of paramount importance, Potassium regulates many vital functions like carbon assimilation, translocation of proteins and sugars, water balance in plants, maintain turgor pressure in the cell, root development, improving the quality of fruits by maintaining desirable sugar: acid ratio, ripening of fruits and many other processes. The banana requires more potassium for its growth, production and quality compared to nitrogen and phosphorus Croucher and Mitchell (1940). Considering these facts the research topic entitled"Studies on plant geometry and levels of potassium on growth, yield and quality of banana (Musa acuminata L.)" is related to the present studies.

### **Materials and Methods:**

During the present studies different treatments of plant density and potassium levels were taken for observation during two trial years. The plant height was measured from the ground level monthly upto the height of pseudostem using metre-scale and the mean was expressed in centimeters at 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 and 210 days.

	<b>Details of Experiment</b>			
a)	Name of crop :		Bana	ana
b)	Botanical Name :		Mus	<i>a</i> spp.
c)	Family : N	lusad	ceae	
	d) Number of main treatment	S	:	04
	e) Number of sub treatme	nts	:	03
	f) Number of treatment co	mbir	natio	ns: 12
	g) Number of replications		: -	03
	h) Experimental design		:	Split plot design
	i) Variety		: •	Ardhapuri
	j) Season		:	2011-12 and 2012-13
	k) Fertilizers		:	As per mentioned later
	Treat. Symbol.			Treatment details
	Plant density (D)		. 65	
	$D_1$		:	1.5 m x 1.2 m
	$D_2$		:	1.5 m x 1.5 m
	$D_3$			1.5 m x 1.8 m
	$D_4$		: ^	1.5 m x 2.1 m
	Potassium levels (K)			
	$\mathbf{K}_1$		:	100 g $K_2O$ /plant (1/2 dose of RDF)
	$K_2$			$200 \text{ g K}_2\text{O}/\text{plant}$ (RDF)
	<b>K</b> <sub>3</sub>		÷	$300 \text{ g K}_2\text{O}/\text{plant}$ (1.5 dose of RDF)
	Treatment			Treatment Details
	T1 T2		:	D1K1 (1.5m x 1.2m with 100g K2O/plant) D1K2(1.5m x 1.2m with 200g K2O/plant)
	T2 T3		:	$D1K2(1.5m \times 1.2m \text{ with } 200g \text{ K}2O/\text{plant})$
	13 T4		•	D1K3(1.5m x 1.2m with 300g K2O/plant) D2K1(1.5m x 1.5m with 100g K2O/plant)
	T4 T5		•	D2K2(1.5m x 1.5m with 100g K2O/plant)
	T6		•	D2K3(1.5m x 1.5m with 300g K2O/plant)
	T0 T7		•	D3K1(1.5m x 1.8m with 100g K2O/plant)
	T8		:	D3K2(1.5m x 1.8m with 200g K2O/plant)
	T9		:	D3K3(1.5m x 1.8m with 300g K2O/plant)
	T10		:	D4K1(1.5m x 2.1m with 100g K2O/plant)
	T11		:	D4K2(1.5m x 2.1m with 200g K2O/plant)
	T12		:	D4K3(1.5m x 2.1m with 300g K2O/plant)

#### Plant height (cm)

The observation recorded that the treatment  $D_4$  60 days (56.98 cm), 90 days (96.27 cm), 120 days (116.78cm), 150 days (129.22cm), 180 days (144.51 cm) and 210 days (154.84 cm) and K<sub>3</sub> 60 days (56.29 cm), 90 days (95.50 cm), 120 days (115.56 cm), 150 days (128.55 cm), 180 days (144.16 cm) and 210 days (154.23 cm) obtained maximum plant height while  $D_1$  60 days (53.94 cm), 90 days (92.02 cm), 120 days (112.07 cm), 150 days (124.41 cm), 180 days (141.33 cm) and 210 days (151.43 cm) and K<sub>1</sub> 60 days (55.06 cm), 90 days (93.03 cm), 120 days (113.22 cm), 150 days (125.86 cm), 180 days (142.77 cm) and 210 days (152.49 cm) obtained minimum plant height throughout the growth intervals at 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 and 210 days. The Treatment  $D_4$  was at par with  $D_3$  at 60, 90,120 and 180 days after planting while the interaction at 150 days the plant height was maximum in treatment  $D_4K_3$  (131.38 cm) and minimum plant height was obtained in treatment  $D_1K_1$  150 days (123.75 cm) and  $D_1K_2$ ,  $D_2K_1$  and  $D_2K_2$  were found to be at par. The treatment  $D_4K_3$  210 days (156.13 cm) was recorded maximum height and  $D_1K_1$  210 days (150.32 cm) recorded minimum height

Plant height was maximum spacing g 1.5m X 2.1m with 300 g K2O per plant. It is because due to wider spacing maximum canopy of plant exposed to sunlight which resulting maximum photosynthesis and metabolic activities in cell. This might be supported by application of potassium having role of promoting hormones for different metabolic activities which resulting in cell multiplication and cell elongation which result in to attending maximum plant height. The highest plant populations produce more competition for soil moisture, nutrient and sunshine and also provide less space for individual plant.

Kavino et al. (2004) revealed that banana cv. Robusta (AAA) recorded significantly increases the growth attributes viz. maximum pseudostem height (251.47 cm), with application of 100% of NPK (600: 90: 900 g/pit).

These results are in conformity with work done by Bhalerao et al. (2009), Mustaffa (2009), Nalina et al. (2009).

Effect of plant densities and different levels of potassium on plant height (cm) of banana cv. Ardhapuri at 60 DAP

Treatments		Plant height (cm) at 60 DAP			
Main treatments (Plant densities) ( $S_{\text{regines}}(m^2)$		2011-12	2012-13	Pooled Mean	
D <sub>1 (1.5 x 1.2)</sub>	Spacings (m <sup>2</sup> )         No. of plants/ha           D1 (1.5 x 1.2)         5,555		53.66	53.94	
D <sub>2(1.5 x 1.5)</sub>	4,444	55.23	55.22	55.23	
D <sub>3(1.5 x 1.8)</sub>	D <sub>3(1.5 x 1.8)</sub> 3,703		55.99	56.76	
D4 (1.5 x 2.1)	3,174	56.81	57.14	56.98	
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.083	0.269	0.20	
C.D. at 5%		0.288	0.932	0.61	
Sub-treatment (Potassium levels)	) (K)				
$K_1$ (100 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		55.09	55.03	55.06	
$K_2~(200~{ m g~K_2O/plant})$		56.13	55.53	55.83	

JETIR2406149 Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR) <u>www.jetir.org</u> b396

		Γ	[]
$K_3$ (300 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)	56.63	55.94	56.29
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>	0.176	0.134	0.157
C.D. at 5%	0.529	0.401	0.451
Interaction (D x K)			
$D_1 K_1$	53.27	53.17	Tabl <u>e2</u> .
$D_1 K_2$	55.00	53.60	54.30
$D_1 K_3$	54.43	54.20	54.32
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	53.87	54.90	54.38
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	55.73	55.33	55.53
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	56.10	55.43	55.77
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	56.50	55.83	56.17
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	57.57	56.00	56.78
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	58.50	56.13	57.32
D <sub>4</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	56.73	56.23	56.48
D4 K2	56.20	57.20	56.70
D4 K3	57.50	58.00	57.75
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>	0.353	0.267	0.313
C.D. at 5%	1.058	NS	NS

Effect of plant densities and different levels of potassium on plant height (cm) of banana cv. Ardhapuri at 90 DAP.

Treatments		🕖 Plant <mark>he</mark>	<mark>eig</mark> ht (cm) at	90 DAP	
Main treatments (Plant densities) (D)		2011-12	2012-13	Pooled	
Spacings (m <sup>2</sup> )	No. of plants/ha			Mean	
D1 (1.5 x 1.2)	5,555	93.14	90.89	92.02	
D <sub>2(1.5 x 1.5)</sub>	4,444	94.24	91.74	92.99	
D3( 1.5 x 1.8)	3,703	97.69	93.69	95.69	
D <sub>4 (1.5 x 2.1)</sub>	3,174	95.74	96.80	96.27	
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.174	0.250	0.22	
C.D. at 5%		0.602	0.865	0.66	
Sub-treatment					
(Potassium level	s) (K)				
$K_1$ (100 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		93.58	92.49	93.03	
$K_2$ (200 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		95.08	93.32	94.20	
K3 (300 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		96.96	94.03	95.50	
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.169	0.141	0.155	
C.D. at 5%		0.506	0.421	0.447	
Interaction (D x	K)				
$D_1 K_1$		90.50	90.50	90.50	
D <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		93.67	90.97	92.32	

$D_1 K_3$	95.27	91.20	93.23
$D_2 K_1$	91.90	91.50	91.70
$D_2 K_2$	94.20	91.80	93.00
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	96.63	91.93	94.28
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>	97.23	92.57	94.90
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	97.60	93.50	95.55
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	98.23	95.00	96.62
$D_4 K_1$	94.67	95.40	T99103.
D <sub>4</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	94.87	97.00	95.93
D4 K3	97.70	98.00	97.85
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>	0.338	0.281	0.311
C.D. at 5%	1.012	0.843	NS
		*	

Effect of plant densities and different levels of potassium on plant height (cm) of banana cv. Ardhapuri at 120 DAP

Treatments Plant height (cm) at 120 DAP						
Treatments Main treatments	2	Plant neig	<u>gni (Ciii) at 120</u>	DAF		
(Plant densities)				Pooled		
``````````````````````````````````````	No. of	2011-12	2012-13	Mean		
Spacings (m <sup>2</sup> )	plants/ha	2011-12	2012-13	Ivicali		
D <sub>1 (1.5 x 1.2)</sub>	5,555	113.69	110.44	112.07		
. , ,						
D <sub>2(1.5 x 1.5)</sub>	4,444	114.51	111.38	112.94		
D <sub>3(1.5 x 1.8)</sub>	3,703	117.39	113.91	115.65		
D <sub>4 (1.5 x 2.1)</sub>	3,174	116.40	11 <mark>7.16</mark>	116.78		
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.174	0.239	0.21		
C.D. at 5%		0.604	0.827	0.64		
Sub-treatment		0.001	0.027			
	(Potassium levels) (K)					
$K_1$ (100 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)			112.38	113.22		
$K_2$ (200 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		115.38	113.22	114.30		
$K_3 (300 \text{ g } \text{K}_2\text{O/plant})$		117.06	114.07	115.56		
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>	S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.128	0.138		
C.D. at 5%		0.444	0.384	0.399		
Interaction (D x	(K)					
$D_1 K_1$		111.00	110.20	110.60		
D <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		113.63	110.53	112.08		
D <sub>1</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		116.43	110.60	113.52		
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>		112.50	110.80	111.65		
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		114.50	111.33	112.92		
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		116.53	112.00	114.27		
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>		116.87	112.23	114.55		

JETIR2406149

Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR) www.jetir.org

D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>	117.10	114.00	1 [Babse 4.
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>	118.20	115.50	116.85
D4 K1	115.87	116.30	116.08
$D_4 K_2$	116.27	117.00	116.63
D4 K3	117.07	118.17	117.62
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>	0.296	0.256	0.277
C.D. at 5%	0.888	0.768	NS

Effect of plant densities and different levels of potassium on plant height (cm) of banana cv. Ardhapuri at 150 DAP

Treatments	Treatments Plant height (cm) at 150 DAP					
Main treatments				Pooled		
(Plant densities)	(D)	2011-12	2012-13	Mean		
Spacings (m <sup>2</sup> )						
	plants/ha					
D <sub>1 (1.5 x 1.2)</sub>	5,555	125.53	123.28	124.41		
D <sub>2(1.5 x 1.5)</sub>	4,444	126.62	124.53	125.58		
D <sub>3(1.5 x 1.8)</sub>	3,703	131.17	126.94	129.06		
D <sub>4 (1.5 x 2.1)</sub>	3,174	<u>128.4</u> 3	130.00	129.22		
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.239	0.251	0.25		
C.D. at 5%		0.827	0.870	0.76		
Sub-treatment						
(Potassium level	s) (K)					
$K_1$ (100 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		126.59	125.13	125.86		
K2 (200 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		127.44	126.12	126.78		
$K_3$ (300 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		129.78	127.32	128.55		
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.207	0.198	0.203		
C.D. at 5%		0.621	0.593	0.584		
Interaction						
(D x K)						
$D_1 K_1$		124.50	123.00	123.75		
$D_1 K_2$		125.10	123.07	124.08		
D1 K3		127.00	123.77	125.38		
$D_2 K_1$		125.50	123.80	124.65		
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		125.00	124.30	124.65		
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		129.37	125.50	127.43		
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>		130.00	125.73	127.87		
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		131.50	127.10	129.30		
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		132.00	128.00	130.00		
D4 K1		126.37	128.00	127.18		
D4 K2		128.17	130.00	129.08		

D4 K3	130.77	132.00	131.38
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>	0.414	0.396	0.405
C.D. at 5%	1.242	1.187	1.167

Effect of plant densities and different levels of potassium on plant height (cm) of banana cv. Ardhapuri at 180 DAP

Treatment		Plant height (cm) at 180 DAP			
Main treatment				Table 6.	
(Plant densities)	(D)			Pooled	
Spacings (m <sup>2</sup> ) No. of		2011-12	2012-13	Mean	
	plants/ha				
D <sub>1 (1.5 x 1.2)</sub>	5,555	141.53	141.12	141.33	
D <sub>2(1.5 x 1.5)</sub>	4,444	143.28	143.60	143.44	
D3( 1.5 x 1.8)	3,703	144.69	143.72	144.21	
D4 (1.5 x 2.1)	3,174	143.94	145.07	144.51	
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.094	0.173	0.14	
C.D. at 5%		0.325	0.599	0.43	
Sub-treatments					
(Potassium level					
K <sub>1 (100 g</sub> K2O/pla		142.67	142.87	142.77	
K <sub>2 (200 g</sub> K2O/pla	ant <sub>)</sub>	143.03	143.33	143.18	
K <sub>3 (300 g</sub> K2O/plant)		144.38	<u>14</u> 3.94	144.16	
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.217	<b>0</b> .173	0.196	
C.D. at 5%		0.651 0.518		0.565	
Interaction (D x	K)				
$D_1 K_1$		140.00	140.00	140.00	
$D_1 K_2$		141.00	141.13	141.07	
D <sub>1</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		143.60	142.23	142.92	
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>1</sub>		142.60	143.23	142.92	
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		142.97	143.33	143.15	
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		144.27	144.23	144.25	
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>		144.63	143.63	144.13	
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		144.43	143.70	144.07	
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		145.00	143.83	144.42	
D4 K1		143.43	144.60	144.02	
D <sub>4</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		143.73	145.13	144.43	
D4 K3		144.67	145.47	145.07	
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.434	0.345	0.392	
C.D. at 5%		NS	NS	NS	

Effect of plant densities and different levels of potassium on plant height (cm) of banana cv. Ardhapuri at 210 DAP

Treatmen	ts	Plan	t height (cm) at 2	10 DAP
Main treatment				
(Plant densities) (D	)	2011-12	2012-13	Pooled 7
Spacings (m <sup>2</sup> )			2012-15	Table 7 Mean
	plants/ha			
D <sub>1 (1.5 x 1.2)</sub>	5,555	152.33	150.53	151.43
D <sub>2</sub> (1.5 x 1.5)	4,444	152.93	151.63	152.28
D3( 1.5 x 1.8)	3,703	155.43	152.87	154.15
D4 (1.5 x 2.1)	3,174	154.34	155.33	154.84
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.241	0.302	0.27
C.D. at 5%		0.834	1.046	0.84
Sub-treatment				
(Potassium levels)	(K)			
$K_1$ (100 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		152.94	152.03	152.49
$K_2$ (200 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		153.28	152.35	152.82
K3 (300 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		155.06	153.39	154.23
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.153	0.090	0.125
C.D. at 5%		0.458	0.270	0.361
Interaction				
(D x K)				
$D_1 K_1$		150.50	150.13	150.32
$D_1 K_2$		152.00	150.50	151.25
D <sub>1</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		154.5 <mark>0</mark>	150.97	152.73
$D_2 K_1$		152.83	151.33	152.08
$D_2 K_2$		151.50	151.57	151.53
$D_2 K_3$		154.47	152.00	153.23
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>		154.60	153.00	153.80
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		155.70	152.00	153.85
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		156.00	153.60	154.80
$D_4 K_1$		153.83	153.67	153.75
$D_4 K_2$		153.93	155.33	154.63
D4 K3		155.27	157.00	156.13
S.E.(m) <u>+</u>		0.305	0.180	0.251
C.D. at 5%		0.916	0.540	0.722

Effect of plant densities and different levels of potassium on cumulative \*plant height (cm) % of banana

### cv. Ardhapuri

Treatm		DAP				
Main treatmen						
(Plant densities Spacings	No. of	90	90 -120	120 -	150 -	180 -
$(m^2)$	plants/ha			150	180	210
D <sub>1 (1.5 x 1.2)</sub>	5,555	70.56	21.79	11.01	13.60	07.15
D <sub>2(1.5 x 1.5)</sub>	4,444	68.58	21.45	11.19	14.22	06.16
D <sub>3(1.5 x 1.8)</sub>	3,703	68.59	20.86	11.59	11.74	06.89
D4 (1.5 x 2.1)	3,174	68.95	21.30	10.65	11.83	07.15
Sub-treatment levels) (K)	Sub-treatment (Potassium levels) (K)		JE	$\mathbf{T}$	R	
$K_1$ (100 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)	$\mathbf{K}_1$ (100 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		21.70	11.16	13.44	06.81
$K_2$ (200 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)	K <sub>2</sub> (200 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		21.34	10.92	12.94	06.73
$K_3$ (300 g K <sub>2</sub> O/plant)		69.66	21.00	10.24	12.14	06.99
Interaction (D	x K)					
$D_1 K_1$		70.05	2 <mark>2.2</mark> 1	<u>11</u> .89	13.13	07.37
$D_1 K_2$		70.01	21. <mark>40</mark>	10.71	13.69	07.22
D <sub>1</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		71.63	21.76	10.45	13.99	06.86
$D_2 K_1$		69.22	21.76	11.64	14.66	06.41
$D_2 K_2$		67.48	21.42	10.39	14.84	05.85
D <sub>2</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		69.05	21.20	11.52	13.20	06.23
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>1</sub>		68.95	20.71	11.63	12.72	06.71
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>2</sub>		68.25	20.93	11.90	11.42	06.79
D <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub>		68.56	20.94	11.25	11.09	07.19
D4 K1		68.25	22.15	9.56	13.24	06.76
D4 K2		69.19	21.58	10.67	11.89	07.06
D4 K3		69.44	20.20	11.70	10.42	07.62

\*Figures in percentage indicate the percent increase in height of banana plant at an interval of 30 days.

## **References:**

Anil, B.K.; Nair, C. S. J. and Jayachandran, B.K. (1995). Effect of spacing on biomass production, dry matter partitioning, yield and fruit quality in tissue culture banana (AAB) Nendran. *J. Tropical Agric.*, 33 (1): 32-35.

Anonymous, (1996). Studies on the effect of planting cum spacing on production of banana cv. Basrai 31<sup>st</sup> AGRESCO Hort and Veg Sub Committee, G.A.U., Navsari, pp 21-29.

Baruah, P.I.and Mohan, N.K. (1985). Effect of potassium on yield and yield attributing characteristics on Dwarf Cavendish (*Musa* AAA) in Assam. *Banana NewsLetter* 15: 24-25.

Basagarahally, R. 1996. Microprogation and nutritional studies of tissue cultured banana cv. Grand Naine. Ph. D. Thesis, university of agricultural science. Bangalore.

Bhalerao, N.M., Patil, N.M., Badgujar, C.D. and Patil, D.R. (2009). Studies on intergrated management from tissue cultured Grand Naine banana. *Indian J. Agric.Res.*, 43: 107-112.

Croucher, H. H. and Mitchell, M.K. 1940. Fertilizer investigations with Gros Michel banana. Bull. Dept. Sci. Agric. Jamaica, 19:30

Kavino, M.,Kumar, N., Sorrianathasundram K. and Jeyakumar P.(2004). Effect of Source of fertilizer for fertigation on yield and quality of banana. cv. Robusta (AAA). *South Indian Hort.*, 50 (4-6); 301-307.

Mustaffa, M.M. (2009). Effect of spacing in nitrogen on growth, fruit and yield of Robusta banana grown under rainfed conditions. *South Indian Hort.*, 36: 228-231.

Nalina, L., Kumar, N., Soorianathasundram, K. and Jeyakumar, P. 2009. Effect of different nutrient levels on growth and development of tissue cultured banana cv. Robusta. Indian J. Horti, 66 (2):169-174.

Palker, S.S. (2007) Effect of high density planting on growth, yield and quality of banana (*Musa paradisiacal* L.) Cv. Grand Naine. Thesis submitted to the Navsari Agriculture University, Navsari.

Pascua,O.C. and Loquias, V.L. (1995). Population density study on cooking banana cv. Cardaba (*Musa balbisiana* L.). *Animal Husband and Agric J. (Philippines)*, <u>29</u> (1): 94-101.