JETIR.ORG ISSN: 2349-5162 | ESTD Year : 2014 | Monthly Issue
JOURNAL OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (JETIR)
An International Scholarly Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

EMPLOYEE GRIEVANCE HANDLING MECHANISMS IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES IN INDIA

***Dr Ravi Kumar,**

Associate Professor of Commerce, Govt. Arts College, Dr B R Ambedkar Veedi, Bengaluru.

ABSTRACT

Employee grievance handling is an important aspect of industrial relations and human resource management in manufacturing industries. Grievances arise due to issues related to wages, working conditions, promotion, supervision, discipline, safety, discrimination, workload, and communication gaps. An effective grievance handling mechanism ensures timely identification, investigation, and resolution of employee complaints, thereby promoting industrial harmony and organizational productivity. Manufacturing industries in India employ millions of workers across organized and unorganized sectors, making structured grievance redressal systems essential for sustainable industrial growth. Indian labour laws such as the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946, and provisions under the Industrial Relations Code, 2020 provide legal support for grievance resolution. Recent studies indicate that organizations with formal grievance committees and transparent procedures experience higher employee satisfaction and lower conflict levels (Naagar & Saxena, 2023). Research involving 524 employees found that 61.1 percent of respondents reported the existence of grievance committees, while 38.74 percent indicated awareness of grievance procedures in organizations. Effective grievance systems improve trust, reduce absenteeism, lower labour turnover, and strengthen employer-employee relationships. The study examines grievance mechanisms, legal provisions, challenges, technological developments, and best practices adopted in Indian manufacturing industries.

Keywords: *Employee Grievance, Grievance Redressal, Manufacturing Industries, Industrial Relations, Employee Satisfaction, Labour Welfare.*

INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing industries are among the largest employers in India and contribute significantly to national income, exports, and employment generation. Effective management of employee grievances is essential for maintaining harmonious industrial relations. Grievances represent employee dissatisfaction arising from wages, working conditions, safety issues, disciplinary actions, promotions, transfers, or interpersonal conflicts. If grievances remain unresolved, they may lead to reduced productivity, absenteeism, labour unrest, strikes, and industrial disputes. Indian manufacturing organizations increasingly recognize the importance of formal grievance handling procedures, grievance committees, labour welfare officers, trade unions, and digital complaint systems. Technological advancements have also introduced online grievance platforms and confidential reporting channels. A fair and transparent grievance mechanism improves employee morale and supports organizational efficiency. Therefore, understanding grievance handling practices in Indian manufacturing industries is important for promoting sustainable industrial growth and employee welfare.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- **Dale Yoder (1972)** examined grievance procedures in industrial organizations and found that systematic grievance mechanisms reduced conflicts and strengthened communication between employees and management. The study highlighted the role of fairness and timely action in improving employee satisfaction.
- **C. B. Mamoria (1995)** studied industrial relations practices in Indian organizations and observed that grievance handling procedures contributed significantly to labour welfare and industrial peace. The study emphasized the importance of participation by trade unions and management.
- **P. Subba Rao (2008)** analyzed employee grievance systems and reported that effective redressal procedures improved employee morale and organizational commitment. The study indicated that multi-stage grievance procedures reduced disputes and enhanced workplace harmony.
- **Maheshwari and Kavyashree (2021)** investigated grievance redressal mechanisms in a manufacturing company and found that employees expressed satisfaction with existing grievance systems. The study suggested improvements through employee participation and communication.
- **Naagar and Saxena (2023)** examined grievance handling procedures among 524 employees in Indian manufacturing and service organizations. The findings revealed positive awareness regarding grievance procedures, although worker unions were found to be less effective in many organizations.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Manufacturing industries in India continue to face employee grievances related to wages, safety, working conditions, promotion policies, communication barriers, discrimination, and disciplinary actions. Many organizations lack effective grievance procedures, resulting in employee dissatisfaction and industrial

conflicts. There is a need to study existing grievance handling mechanisms and identify measures for improving transparency, fairness, and employee satisfaction.

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The study provides insights into grievance handling practices in Indian manufacturing industries. It helps management, policymakers, trade unions, and researchers understand methods for improving industrial relations, employee welfare, and organizational effectiveness.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study covers grievance handling mechanisms adopted by manufacturing industries in India. It examines formal procedures, grievance committees, labour laws, trade union participation, digital systems, challenges, and best practices influencing employee satisfaction and industrial harmony.

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

To examine the grievance handling mechanisms adopted in manufacturing industries in India and analyze their effectiveness in improving employee satisfaction and industrial relations.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study is based on secondary data collected from books, journals, government reports, labour laws, research articles, websites, and published industrial relations studies.

1. CONCEPT AND TYPES OF EMPLOYEE GRIEVANCES IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

Employee grievances refer to feelings of dissatisfaction arising from employment conditions, managerial decisions, organizational policies, or workplace relationships. Manufacturing industries involve large workforces operating under different departments, shifts, and hierarchical structures, making grievances inevitable. Common grievances include issues related to wages, incentives, overtime payments, working conditions, promotion, transfers, safety, discipline, discrimination, and communication failures. According to Mamoria (1995), employee grievances originate when workers perceive unfair treatment or violations of employment expectations. Grievances can be expressed formally through written complaints or informally through discussions with supervisors. Indian manufacturing industries often experience grievances due to wage disparities, safety concerns, workload pressures, and inadequate welfare facilities. Labour Bureau reports indicate that manufacturing remains one of the most dispute-prone sectors because of workforce size and operational complexities.

Grievances are generally classified into economic, work-related, supervisory, disciplinary, policy-related, and interpersonal grievances. Economic grievances include salary disputes, bonus payments, incentives, and

overtime compensation. Work-related grievances arise from unsafe conditions, poor equipment, excessive workloads, and inconvenient working hours. Supervisory grievances result from unfair treatment, communication problems, or biased leadership. Disciplinary grievances involve suspensions, warnings, and punitive actions. Policy-related grievances emerge due to ambiguity in promotion, transfer, or leave policies. Interpersonal grievances arise from conflicts among employees or between workers and supervisors. Studies indicate that wage-related grievances account for a major share of complaints in Indian industries (Subba Rao, 2008). Grievances differ across organized and unorganized manufacturing sectors due to variations in labour practices and organizational structures.

The effects of unresolved grievances are significant. Employee dissatisfaction leads to absenteeism, turnover, low morale, reduced productivity, accidents, and industrial unrest. Research by Naagar and Saxena (2023) found that awareness of grievance procedures positively influenced employee satisfaction and trust in management. Organizations with structured grievance mechanisms experienced fewer disputes and improved workplace relationships. Manufacturing companies increasingly recognize grievance management as a strategic HR function rather than merely a conflict resolution process. Transparent grievance systems contribute to employee engagement, retention, and operational efficiency. Therefore, identifying grievance types and addressing root causes are essential for achieving industrial harmony and sustainable growth.

2. GRIEVANCE HANDLING PROCEDURES AND LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN INDIA

Grievance handling procedures are systematic processes designed to identify, investigate, and resolve employee complaints. A standard grievance procedure generally includes reporting, investigation, discussion, decision-making, appeal, and implementation stages. In many manufacturing organizations, grievances are initially reported to immediate supervisors. If unresolved, the issue is escalated to department heads, grievance committees, HR departments, senior management, or arbitration mechanisms. Multi-stage procedures ensure fairness and transparency. Yoder (1972) emphasized that grievance systems should be simple, prompt, and accessible. Effective procedures maintain confidentiality and encourage employee participation. Large manufacturing companies often establish grievance committees consisting of management representatives, workers, and trade union members.

Indian labour laws provide support for grievance redressal mechanisms. The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 provides provisions for conciliation, arbitration, and adjudication of disputes. The Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 mandates clear service conditions. The Industrial Relations Code, 2020 emphasizes grievance settlement procedures and worker representation. Works Committees, Welfare Officers, and Grievance Redressal Committees play important roles in handling disputes. The Ministry of Labour introduced the SAMADHAN Portal to facilitate online filing and tracking of industrial disputes and grievances. Between 2020 and June 2024, 63,001 complaints were received through the portal, and 45,657

grievances were resolved. The portal has improved transparency, speed, and accessibility in grievance handling.

Technological advancements have transformed grievance handling processes. Many manufacturing firms use digital complaint systems, anonymous reporting channels, email-based grievance systems, and mobile applications. Artificial intelligence tools are increasingly used to categorize complaints and monitor resolution timelines. Government grievance systems also demonstrate the effectiveness of technology. The CPGRAMS system disposed of over 1.15 crore grievances between 2020 and 2024, reducing average resolution time from 28 days to 15 days. Such digital practices provide valuable lessons for manufacturing industries. Organizations adopting technology-enabled grievance mechanisms experience improved employee trust and faster dispute resolution. The integration of legal provisions, institutional frameworks, and technology has strengthened grievance management practices in India.

3. ROLE OF TRADE UNIONS, HR DEPARTMENTS, AND GRIEVANCE COMMITTEES

Trade unions have historically played a major role in grievance handling within Indian manufacturing industries. They represent employee interests and facilitate negotiations with management. In industries with strong union presence, grievance settlement becomes more structured and collective. Trade unions assist employees in presenting complaints, interpreting labour laws, and seeking fair outcomes. According to Mamoria (1995), union involvement improves transparency and balances employer-employee relations. However, recent studies indicate declining union participation in some private manufacturing organizations. Naagar and Saxena (2023) reported that only 24.8 percent of respondents confirmed the existence of worker unions in their organizations. This trend places greater responsibility on HR departments and grievance committees.

Human Resource departments perform a central role in grievance handling. HR managers formulate policies, investigate complaints, conduct hearings, maintain records, and recommend corrective measures. Modern HR practices focus on procedural justice, confidentiality, and employee participation. Grievance committees comprising representatives from management and employees improve fairness in decision-making. Research findings indicate that 61.1 percent of respondents reported the existence of grievance committees in organizations. Organizations with active committees experience higher employee trust and reduced conflict. HR departments also conduct counselling, mediation, and awareness programs to prevent grievances. Employee assistance programs and welfare initiatives further contribute to conflict reduction. Effective grievance management requires HR professionals to possess communication, negotiation, and conflict resolution skills.

Employee participation is essential for successful grievance handling. Open communication channels encourage workers to report concerns without fear of retaliation. Organizations promoting employee voice

mechanisms experience higher satisfaction and commitment. Anonymous complaint systems, suggestion schemes, and regular meetings strengthen participation. Procedural fairness significantly influences employee perceptions regarding grievance outcomes. Studies demonstrate that fair treatment has a stronger effect on satisfaction than favourable decisions alone. Manufacturing industries adopting participative grievance systems report lower absenteeism, reduced turnover, and improved productivity. Therefore, trade unions, HR departments, grievance committees, and employee participation collectively contribute to effective grievance management and industrial peace.

4. CHALLENGES, BEST PRACTICES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite improvements, manufacturing industries face several challenges in grievance handling. Delays in resolution, lack of awareness, fear of retaliation, inadequate documentation, weak communication, and managerial bias remain significant problems. Small and medium enterprises often lack formal grievance procedures. Employees in contract labour and unorganized sectors face greater difficulties in accessing grievance mechanisms. Cultural barriers and power distance also discourage complaint reporting. Studies indicate that many employees are unaware of grievance procedures or hesitate to use them. Naagar and Saxena (2023) found uncertainty regarding grievance policy coverage in several organizations. These challenges reduce the effectiveness of grievance systems.

Best practices in grievance handling include clear policies, employee awareness programs, digital platforms, confidential reporting channels, timely resolution, and management commitment. Organizations should establish grievance committees with equal employee representation. Training supervisors in communication and conflict resolution enhances effectiveness. Root cause analysis helps identify recurring issues and improve organizational policies. Technology-based grievance systems provide transparency and real-time tracking. Government initiatives have demonstrated successful grievance management through digital platforms. CPGRAMS reforms reduced grievance disposal time and improved satisfaction levels. Labourline initiatives in India have resolved around 40 percent of worker cases and secured compensation exceeding ₹63 crore for employees. Such examples illustrate the benefits of accessible grievance mechanisms.

Future grievance systems in manufacturing industries are likely to become more technology-driven and employee-centric. Artificial intelligence, predictive analytics, and mobile applications can improve complaint categorization and monitoring. Integration of employee feedback with organizational decision-making will strengthen trust. Greater emphasis on psychological safety, diversity, and workplace inclusion will expand the scope of grievance management. Manufacturing organizations that invest in effective grievance systems will experience higher productivity, better employee retention, and stronger industrial relations. Therefore, future grievance mechanisms should combine legal compliance, technological innovation, participative management, and ethical leadership to create fair and harmonious workplaces.

CONCLUSION

Employee grievance handling mechanisms are essential for maintaining industrial peace and organizational efficiency in manufacturing industries. Effective grievance systems reduce conflicts, improve employee satisfaction, and strengthen employer-employee relationships. Indian labour laws, grievance committees, trade unions, HR departments, and digital platforms provide important support for grievance resolution. Technological advancements have increased transparency and accessibility in grievance management. However, challenges such as lack of awareness, delays, and weak participation continue to affect effectiveness. Manufacturing organizations should adopt fair, transparent, and employee-centered grievance systems supported by technology and participative management. Strong grievance mechanisms contribute to labour welfare, productivity, and sustainable industrial development in India.

REFERENCES

1. Dale, Y. (1972). *Personnel Management and Industrial Relations*. New Delhi: Prentice Hall.
2. Mamoria, C. B., & Mamoria, S. (1995). *Dynamics of Industrial Relations in India*. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House.
3. Naagar, P. R., & Saxena, S. (2023). Identifying grievance handling procedures in Indian manufacturing and service organizations: A comprehensive study. *International Journal of Human Resources Development and Management*.
4. Rao, P. S. (2008). *Essentials of Human Resource Management and Industrial Relations*. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House.
5. Maheshwari, B. P., & Kavyashree, M. B. (2021). Employees grievance redressal mechanism in a manufacturing industry. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 9*(1), 166-181.
6. Yoder, D. (1972). *Personnel Management and Industrial Relations*. New Delhi: Prentice Hall.
7. Government of India. (2024). SAMADHAN Portal: Grievance redressal mechanism. Ministry of Labour and Employment.
8. Government of India. (2024). Public grievance disposal statistics under CPGRAMS. Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions.